



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,171	06/15/2001	Joachim Laier	449122004500	6959

25227 7590 10/06/2005

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD
SUITE 300
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

WHIPKEY, JASON T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/868,171

Applicant(s)

LAIER ET AL.

Examiner

Jason T. Whipkey

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it begins with, "The invention relates to". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2, 5, and 9 are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2 and 9 recites the limitation "the central camera memory" on lines 5 and 4, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. For examination purposes, the claims will be treated as if they read, "a central camera memory".

Art Unit: 2612

Claim 5 recites the limitation “the display driver” on lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim when the claim is dependent on claim 1, 2, or 4. For examination purposes, the claim will be treated as if it reads, “a display driver”.

4. Claims 4, 5, and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7, and 8, the phrase “or similar” renders the claims indefinite because the claims include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by “or similar”), thereby rendering the scope of the claims unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the phrase “such as” renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 2612

Claim 7 recites the limitation “the respective commands and/or operations” on lines 23-24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purposes, the claim will be treated as if it reads, “commands and/or operations”.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, and 9 are rejected because they are dependent on the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2612

9. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0022618) in view of Aihara (U.S. Patent No. 6,223,190).

Regarding **claim 1**, Ward discloses:

A communications-enabled image recording device (Figure 1) for still and/or moving images, in particular a digital camera (electronic still camera 10) having a semiconductor image recording sensor, in particular a CMOS/CCD chip (CCD 22) or similar array, an image recording and image data transfer control unit (microprocessor 34) for the image data and/or text (see paragraph 12) or audio data transfer to an external data sink, such as e.g., a personal computer (12) with image reproduction monitor (shown in Figure 1) or a mass storage device, and also having an operating display (LCD 24) and having operating elements for setting operating modes, and which receives instructions for the image data transfer on the operating display (step 64; see paragraph 15), in order to accept externally offered digit and/or letter sequences (text messages regarding image transfer messages from a host service; see paragraph 15) and/or graphics or similar representations for identifying the respective status, and, furthermore, the image data transfer control unit displays the desired representation on the operating display (see paragraph 15).

Ward is silent with regard to including a memory module for holding information for display.

Art Unit: 2612

Aihara discloses a digital imaging device, including a memory module (DRAM 346 in Figure 4) for storing data for display on LCD screen 402 (see column 5, lines 24-35, and column 6, lines 27-38).

An advantage of including a memory for storing display information is that the information may be displayed persistently — that is, for a period of time long enough to be read by a user. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Ward's imaging device include a memory for storing information to be displayed.

Regarding **claim 2**, Aihara discloses:

the memory module is a separately addressable area of a central camera memory (frame buffer 536 in Figure 4), or in that the representations are provided with a particular identification symbol in order to define them as status information.

Regarding **claim 3**, Ward discloses:

the operating display is a camera display (LCD 24).

Ward is silent with regard to including a display driver. Aihara discloses:

a camera display (LCD screen 402) which can be driven by a display driver (LCD controller 390), in which case, via the driver, data can be fetched from the memory module (DRAM 346) according to specification by the control unit (CPU 344; see column 5, lines 26-28).

An advantage of including a display driver is that display processing may be removed from the central processing unit, which frees the CPU to perform other processing.

Regarding **claim 4**, Ward discloses:

the image data transfer control unit is connected to a transmitting unit, and the transmitting unit has a radio transmitting assembly with antenna for establishing a wire-free connection via a GSM, UMTS, DECT or similar standard telecommunications network and/or a data transfer interface (modem 32) for wire-based, bit-oriented transmission via an ISDN (see paragraph 12) or similar network.

Regarding **claim 5**, Ward discloses:

the operating display or a display driver can be externally driven or activated (by the host system; see paragraph 15).

Regarding **claim 6**, Ward discloses:

the image data transfer control unit is an integral part of the image recording device or camera (see Figure 1).

Regarding **claim 7**, Ward discloses:

A method for operating a communications-enabled image recording device for still and/or moving images, in particular a digital camera (electronic still camera 10), which has a semiconductor image recording sensor, in particular CMOS/CCD chip (CCD 22) or similar array, an image recording and image data transfer control unit (microprocessor 34) for the image or other data transfer to an external data sink, such as e.g. a personal computer (12) with image reproduction monitor (shown in Figure 1), or a mass storage device, the integrated image recording and image data transfer control unit being connected to an operating

Art Unit: 2612

display (LCD 24) and also operating elements or setting operating modes (image transmission; see paragraph 14), characterized in that, in order to display status information and/or instructions on the operating display for or during the data transfer from the data source to the data sink, digit and/or letter sequences (text messages regarding image transfer messages from a host service; see paragraph 15) and/or graphics or similar representations are received, the selection of the representations being automatically initiated by the image data transfer control unit in accordance with commands and/or operations (see paragraph 15).

Ward is silent with regard to including a memory module for holding information for display.

Aihara discloses a digital imaging device, including a memory module (DRAM 346 in Figure 4) for storing data for display on LCD screen 402 (see column 5, lines 24-35, and column 6, lines 27-38).

An advantage of including a memory for storing display information is that the information may be displayed persistently — that is, for a period of time long enough to be read by a user. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Ward's imaging device include a memory for storing information to be displayed.

Regarding **claim 8**, Ward discloses:

the digit and/or letter sequences and/or graphics or similar representations which are stored in the memory module can be erased and/or changed and thereby updated by external access after positive checking of authorization

Art Unit: 2612

(communication only occurs if password authentication occurs; see paragraphs 32-33).

Regarding **claim 9**, Aihara discloses:

the memory module is formed by a separately addressable area of a central camera memory (see column 5, lines 34-36, and column 6, lines 33-34).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. eastern daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu, can be reached at (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2612

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JTW

JTW

October 2, 2005



NGOC-YEN VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER